

The 4th October, 1982

No. 4318-ET-II-82/34860.—The result of the Departmental Examination of the officers of the Exoise and Taxation Department, Haryana, held in July, 1982, is announced as under :—

Sr. No.	Name of the Officers/ Official and District in which posted	Paper I (Law of (Crime)	Paper II (Excise Law)	Paper III (Allied Taxes)	Paper IV (Sales Tax Law)	Paper V (Book keeping)	Paper VI (Landa. Script)	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Assistant Excise and Taxation Officers								
Sarvshri—								
1	M. L. Chhabra, Rohtak	Fail	—	—	—	—	—	
2	Partap Singh Dhaka, Rewari (Mohindergarh)	Fail	—	—	Pass	—	—	
3	Ranbir Singh, S. T. C. B., Dundahera, (Gurgaon)	Fail	Fail	—	Fail	—	—	
4	Mangal Singh Yadav, S.T.C.B., Dundahera (Gurgaon)	Fail	Pass	—	—	—	—	
5	Jagdish Mitter Chopra, Karnal	Fail	—	—	—	—	Pass (W.C.)	
6	Lal Chand Bhatnagar, Panipat (Karnal)	Fail	—	Pass (W.C.)	—	—	—	
7	M. L. Yadav, S.T.C.B., Yamuna Bridge, Jagadhari (Ambala)	Fail	—	Fail	—	Pass	—	
8	Ram Narain, S.T.C.B., Bahadurgarh (Rohtak)	Fail	Fail	Fail	—	—	—	
9	Rajinder Singh, S.T.C.B., Bahadurgarh (Rohtak)	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	—	
10	Rakhe Ram Malik, S.T.C.B., Tohana (Hissar)	Fail	—	Fail	—	Pass	—	
11	Anand Parkash Sharma, S.T.C.B., Dabwali (Sirsa)	Fail	Fail	Pass	—	—	—	
12	S. S. Virk, S.T.C.B., Hodal (Faridabad)	Fail	—	—	—	Fail	—	
13	Shankar Singh Yadav, S.T.C.B., Doitabad (Gurgaon)	—	Fail	—	Pass	Pass	—	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Assistant Excise and Taxation Officers—concl'd

Sarvshri—

14	Pushkar Raj Munjhal, S.T.C.B., Faridabad	—	Pass	—	—	—	—	—
15	Suraj Mal Mann, Panipat (Karnal)	—	Fail	—	—	—	—	—
16	R. P. Yadav, S.T.C.B., Jaisinghpur Khera	—	Fail	—	—	—	—	—
17	Vishnu Kumar, S.T.C.B., Dhulkot, (Ambala)	—	Pass	Fail	—	—	—	—
18	Som Nath Arora, Sirsa	—	Pass	—	—	—	—	—
19	Abnash Singh Oberai, Kurukshetra	—	Fail	—	—	—	—	—
20	Dharam Paul Tara, Shiv Puri Mandi, Sonapat	—	Pass	—	—	Fail	—	—
21	Mitter Sain Sharma, S.T.C.B., Panchkula, Zirakpur (Ambala)	—	Fail	—	—	—	—	—
22	Gurdial Singh, S.T.C.B., Kalka (Ambala)	—	—	Fail	Fail	—	—	—
23	Jarnail Singh, S.T.C.B., Dhulkot (Ambala)	—	—	Fail	—	—	—	—
24	Kulwant Singh, S.T.C.B., Guhla Cheeka (Kurukshetra)	—	—	—	Pass	Fail	—	—
25	L. N. Sharma, Panipat (Karnal)	—	—	—	Pass	—	—	—
26	J. N. Mehta, O/o D.E.T.C., Jind	—	—	—	—	Pass	—	—

Taxation Inspectors

27	Ashok Kumar, S. T. C. B., Rori, Sirsa	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	Pass (W.C.)
28	Molar Chand, S. T. C. B., Rori, Sirsa	Fail	—	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass (W.C.)
29	Surja Ram, S. T. C. B., Khizerabad, Jagadhri (Ambala)	Fail	Fail	Pass	Fail	Fail	—
30	Om Parkash Bagotia, S. T. C. B., Nangal Chaudhary (M/Garh)	Fail	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Taxation Inspectors								
Sarvshri—								
31	Ashok Kumar Sharma, S. T. C. B. Akberpur Barota, Sonapat	Fail	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	—	
32	Guljari Lal, S. T. C. B. Gurgaon, Badli Road (Gurgaon)	Pass	—	—	Fail	—	Pass (W.C.)	
33	Yoginder Singh, Kurukshetra	Fail	—	—	Pass	—	Pass (W.C.)	
34	Rajinder Singh Yadav, S. T. C. B. Akberpur Barota (Sonapat)	Fail	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	Pass (H.S.)	
35	Bhisham Pitama, S. T. C. B. Mundka, Gurgaon	Fail	—	—	Fail	—	—	
36	Chhote Lal Bhatnagar, S. T. C. B. Dundaheera c/o D.E.T.C. Gurgaon	Fail	—	—	—	Pass (H.S.)	—	
37	Ram Singh, S. T. C. B. Mundka (Gurgaon)	Fail	—	—	Pass	Pass (H.S.)	—	
38	Mukhtiar Singh Rathi, S. T. C. B. Punhana (Gurgaon)	Fail	—	Pass	Fail	Fail	(Pass) (H.S.)	
39	Bharat Bhushan, S. T. C. B. Zirakpur Ambala	Fail	—	—	Fail	—	—	
40	Hemant Kumar, S. T. C. B. Zirakpur (Ambala)	Fail	—	—	—	—	Pass (W.C.)	
41	Madan Lal Dhir, S.T.C.B. Yamuna Bridge, Yamuna Nagar (Ambala)	Fail	—	Pass	—	Pass	—	
42	Surjit Singh, S.T.C.B. Yamuna Bridge, Yamuna Nagar (Ambala)	Pass	—	—	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	—	
43	Ishwar Dayal, Ambala City	Fail	—	—	Pass	Fail	—	
44	Hawa Singh, Yamuna Nagar (Ambala)	Fail	Fail	—	Fail	—	—	
45	Suresh Kumar, S.T.C.B. Khizrabad (Ambala)	Fail	—	—	Fail	Pass	—	
46	Kulbir Singh Rathee, S.T.C.B. Nahara Nahari, Sonapat	Pass	Fail	—	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	—	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Taxation Inspectors

Sarvshri—

47	Mala Ram, S.T.C.B. Kagdana (Sirsa)	Pass	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Pass (W.C.)
48	Pal Singh, Hissar Circle C/o D.E.T.C. Hissar	Fail	—	Pass	Pass (H.S.)	Pass	Pass (W.C.)
49	Jagdish Chander, C/o D. E. T. C. Sirsa	Pass	—	Pass (H.S.)	Fail	Zero	—
50	Naresh Kumar Gupta, S. T. C. B. Jaisinghpur Khera (Mohindergarh)	Pass	—	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass (W.C.)
51	Bhagat Ram, S. T. C. B. Hanumangarh Road, Ellanabad (Sirsa)	Pass	—	Fail	Fail	Zero	Pass (W.C.)
52	Phool Kumar, S. T. C. B. Ellanabad (Sirsa)	Pass	—	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass (W.C.)
53	Ram Saran Dass Sachdev, S. T. C. B. Mushahibwala, (Sirsa)	Pass	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	Fail	Fail	Pass (W.C.)
54	Om Parkash Dindyal, S. T. C. B. Punhana (Gurgaon)	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	—
55	Inder Singh, S. T. C. B. Faridabad	Fail	—	Pass	Fail	Fail	—
56	Surender Kumar, S. T. C. B. Kagdana (Sirsa)	Fail	—	Pass	Fail	Zero	Pass (W.C.)
57	Dharm Pal, S. T. C. B. Kagdana (Sirsa)	Pass	—	—	Fail	Zero	—
58	Yash Pal Yadav, S. T. C. B. Kagdana (Sirsa)	Pass	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	Pass (W.C.)
59	Zile Singh Birla, S. T. C. B. Mushahibwala (Sirsa)	Fail	—	Fail	Fail	Fail	Pass (W.C.)
60	Padam Singh, S. T. C. B. Nangal Chaudhary (Mohindergarh)	Fail	—	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass (W.C.)
61	Kaur Singh, S. T. C. B. Ramgarh (Ambala)	Pass	—	Pass	Fail	Pass	—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Taxation Inspectors								
Sarvshri—								
62	Bhup Singh, S. T. C. B. Mushahibwala (Sirsa)	Fail	Pass	Pass (H.S.)	Pass	Pass	Pass (W.C.)	
63	Mukand Singh, S. T. C. B. Manimajra (Panchkula)	Fail	—	Pass	Fail	Fail	—	
64	Ram Phal, S. T. C. B. Daultabad (Gurgaon)	Pass	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	Pass (W.C.)	
65	Subhash Chander, S. T. C. B. Shivpuri Mandi, (Sonepat)	Fail	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	Pass (W.C.)	
66	Rajender Pal Narang, S. T. C. B. Kalka (Ambala)	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass (W.C.)	
67	Raj Kumar Sharma, S. T. C. B. Shivpuri Mandi, (Sonepat)	Fail	—	Pass (H.S.)	Fail	—	—	
68	Randhir Singh Chhikara, S. T. C. B. Shivpuri Mandi, (Sonepat)	Fail	—	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass (W.C.)	
69	Hoshiar Singh Shokand, S. T. C. B. Kalka, (Ambala)	Fail	Fail	—	Fail	Pass	—	
70	Harphool Singh, S. T. C. B. Behal (Bhiwani)	Fail	—	Fail	Fail	Fail	—	
71	Satya Pal Verma, S. T. C. B. Akbarpur, Barota, (Sonepat)	Fail	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass (W.C.)	
72	Devi Ram Bhardwaj, Bhiwani	Fail	—	Pass	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	Pass (W.C.)	
73	Ram Chander, S. T. C. B. Jhumpa, (Bhiwani)	Fail	—	—	Pass	Fail	—	
74	Raj Pal Gupta, S. T. C. B. Behal (Bhiwani)	Pass	—	Pass (H.S.)	Pass (H.S.)	Fail	Pass (W.C.)	
75	Randhir Singh Deswal, S. T. C. B. Khandora,	Pass	—	Pass	Fail	Pass	—	
76	Deep Chand, Panipat (Karnal)	Pass	—	—	Pass	—	—	
77	R. N. Dhawan, S. T. C. B. Dundahera (Gurgaon)	Fail	—	Fail	Fail	Fail	—	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Taxation Inspectors								
Sarvshri—								
78	Krishan Kumar Beniwal, S. T. C. B. Moda Khera (Hissar)	Pass	Zero	Pass (H.S.)	Zero	Fail	Pass (W.C.)	
79	Ved Parkash Dahiya, S. T. C. B. Nahari (Sonapat)	Fail	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	Fail	Pass	Pass (W.C.)	
80	Mohinder Singh Sonapat	Fail	—	Fail	Fail	Zero	Pass (W.C.)	
81	Diwan Singh Dahiya, S. T. C. B. Khandora	Fail	—	Pass	Pass	Fail	—	
82	Banwari Lal, S. T. C. B. Chautala (Sirsa)	Fail	—	Pass	—	—	—	
83	Dharam Bir Chauhan, Sonapat	Pass	Fail	Fail	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	Pass (H.S.)	
84	Chander Bhan, S. T. C. B. Mandhana (Mohindergarh)	Fail	—	Pass	Fail	—	—	
85	M. R. Dahiya, Rewari	Fail	—	Fail	—	Fail	—	
86	Narender Pal, S. T. C. B. Sohna (Gurgaon)	Pass	Fail	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass (W.C.)	
87	Gajender Kumar, Rohtak	Fail	—	—	Pass	—	—	
88	Bal Raj Panna, Narwana (Jind)	—	Fail	—	—	—	—	
89	Raj Vir Singh, Barman, S. T. C. B. Loharu (Bhiwani)	—	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	Fail	Fail	Pass (W.C.)	
90	Dalpat Singh, S. T. C. B. Yamuna Bridge, Yamuna Nagar (Ambala)	—	—	Fail	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	—	
91	Mahavir Parsad, S. T. C. B. Madhana (Mohindergarh)	—	—	Pass	Fail	—	Pass (H.S.)	
92	P. N. Chopra, S. T. C. B. Barwala (Ambala)	—	—	Pass	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	Pass (H.S.)	
93	Ram Parsad, Jaisinghpur Khera, (Mohindergarh)	—	—	Pass	Pass	Fail	—	
94	Rohtas Singh, D. E. T. C. Office, Hissar	—	—	Pass (H.S.)	Pass	—	Pass (H.S.)	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Taxation Inspectors								
Sarvshri—								
95	Ved Parkash Sharma, S. T. C. B. Jaisinghpur Khara, (Mohindergharh)	—	—	Fail	—	—	—	—
96	Brahm Parkash Deswal, S. T. C. B. Bahadurgarh (Rohtak)	—	—	Pass (H.S.)	Fail	Pass	—	—
97	Vazir Chand Garg, S. T. C. B. Dabwali (Sirsa)	—	—	Pass	—	—	Pass (W.C.)	—
98	Ad Ram, S. T. C. B. Chautala (Sirsa)	—	—	Fail	Fail	—	Pass	—
99	S. P. Taneja, Ambala Cantt.	—	—	—	Pass	Pass (H.S.)	—	—
100	Daler Singh, S. T. C. B. Kula Jakhal Road, Hissar	—	—	—	Fail	—	—	—
101	Manohar Lal Tuteja, S. T. C. B. Yamuna Bridge Jagadhri (Ambala)	—	—	—	Fail	—	—	—
102	Vidya Bhushan, S. T. C. B. Ramgarh, (Ambala)	—	—	—	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	—	—
103	Madan Lal Gupta, S. T. C. B. Badli, Rohtak	—	—	—	Pass (H.S.)	Pass	—	—
104	Mahipal Singh Dahiya, S. T. C. B. Dundahera (Gurgaon)	—	—	—	Fail	—	—	—
105	J. S. Grover, Ambala Cantt.	—	—	—	—	Pass (H.S.)	—	—
106	Ram Sarup Mehta, S. T. C. B. Hanspur (Hissar)	—	—	—	—	Pass (H.S.)	—	—
107	Mehar Singh Saini, S. T. C. B. Tauru Dhidra (Gurgaon)	—	—	—	—	—	Pass (W.C.)	—
108	Daljit Singh, S. T. C. B. Panchkula, Zirakpur Road (Ambala)	—	—	—	—	—	Pass (H.S.)	—
109	Mangat Ram, Office of E. T. C. Office, Haryana, Chandigarh	—	—	—	—	—	Pass (W.C.)	—
Excise Inspectors								
110	Satish Kumar, Panipat Co-op. Distillery, Panipat (Karnal)	Fail	Fail	Pass	—	—	—	—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Excise and Taxation								
Sarvshri—								
111	Ajmer Singh, Haryana Breweries, Mur- thal (Sonapat)	Fail	Fail	Pass (H.S.)	—	—	—	
112	Sewa Ram Gupta, Panipat Distillery Panipat (Karnal)	Fail	Fail	Fail	—	—	—	
113	Ram Kumar Tanwer, Hissar Circle, Hissar	Fail	—	—	—	—	—	
114	Partap Singh Raghova, Haryana Breweries, Murthal (Sonapat)	—	Fail	Pass	—	—	—	
115	Chaman Lal Beri (Rohtak)	—	—	Fail	—	—	—	

L. C. GUPTA,

Financial Commissioner and
Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Excise and Taxation Department.**LABOUR DEPARTMENT**

The 30th July, 1982

No. 9(1)-82-6Lab/6973.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of Executive Engineer, S.Y.L. Mechanical Division No. 2, Model Town, Ambala City :—

**BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD**

Reference No. 152/1981

between

**SHRI NATHU RAM, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGI-
NEER, S.Y.L. MECHANICAL DIVISION NO. 2, MODEL TOWN, AMBALA CITY**

Present: —

Shri Rajeshwar Nath for the workmen.

Shri S. C. Dhake for the management.

AWARD

The State Government of Haryana referred the following dispute between the workman Shri Nathu Ram and the management of M/s Executive Engineer, S. Y. L. Mechanical Division, Model Town, Ambala City by order No. Amb/9/81/24960, dated 18th May, 1981, to this Tribunal, for adjudication in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (f) section (10) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Nathu Ram was justified and in order?
If not, to what relief is he entitled?

Notices of the reference were sent to the parties who appeared and filed their pleadings. The following issues were framed by my order, dated the 18th August, 1981:—

- (1) Whether the management is not an industry ?
- (2) Whether the reference is bad on account of not joining of necessary party?
- (3) Whether the termination of services of Shri Nathu Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The workman examined himself as his own witness and the management examined Shri R. K. Dewan, S. D. O., SYL., Sub-Division No. 3, and closed its case. Arguments were heard.
Issue No. 1—

The learned representative for the management argued that S. Y. L. Wing of the Irrigation Department was not an industry. It does not carry any trade or business rather it was a welfare department of the State Government. Its function was to provide irrigation facilities to the farmers. On the other hand, learned representative for the workman argued that the term industry as defined under Section 2-J of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, did not mean only trade and business being run for profit motive. He argued that the department was having systematic activities and giving service to the public. He cited 1978-I-LLJ-Page 349 famous Bangalore Water case, I have considered the argument advanced on behalf of the parties. There was no dispute about the functions of the Irrigation Department, the controversy about term industry which was set at rest by the verdict of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Bangalore Water Supply case referred above. The Court held the following tests to arrive at a conclusion in this behalf:—

- (i) Systematic activity
- (ii) Co-operation between employer and employee;
- (iii) production and/or distribution of goods and services calculated to satisfy human wants and wishes — If these tests are satisfied *prima facie* there is an "industry".

The Irrigation Department was doing a function which satisfied the above tests. It rendered service to a section of the society by supplying water for irrigation and for drinking through water works. As regards profit motive, it was further held that absence of profit motive is irrelevant wherever the undertaking is whether in the public, joint, private, or other sector. Therefore, I have hesitation in holding the department as an industry in the light of verdict of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. I decide this issue against the management.

Issue No. 2—

The learned representative of the management argued that the reference was bad for non-joinder of necessary party. He claimed that the Chief Engineer was the proper authority and not the Executive Engineer. On the other hand, learned representative for the workman argued that the appointing authority was the Executive Engineer against whom the present reference was made by the Government. I find from the written statement that the claimant was employed originally in SYL Mechanical Division No. I, Ambala and his service was terminated by Executive Engineer, SYL Mechanical Division No. II. It was nowhere pleaded that the Chief Engineer was a necessary party. No rule was cited in this behalf. Therefore, I do not find any defect in impleading the parties. Therefore, this issue is also decided against the management.

Issue No. 3—

MW-I deposed that he had brought the relevant record and the workman employed in his Sub-Division as work-charged T. Mate who worked from 13th December, 1970 to 30th June, 1980. His serial No. in the seniority list maintained in the Division was 33. The appointing authority and terminating authority of a work-charged employee was Executive Engineer. Although the project estimate and budget of the Division was sanctioned by the Chief Engineer, Haryana. He further deposed that no T. Mate junior to the workman was in service at the time of termination of his service. A notice of 10 days was served upon to the workman by the Xen. under clause P.W.D. Code, Para 1.129 (vii). No lien was kept in the Sub-Division at the close of work, however when a demand was received from other Sub-Division the work-charged employee was sent there for re-employment by the demanding Sub-Division. In cross-examination, he admitted it as correct that the concerned workman was reinstated in service by settlement, dated 9th June, 1980. He also admitted that no compensation was paid to him at the time of termination of his service. He denied the suggestion that Shri Mangat Ram was working in the Sub-Division as T. Mate. He admitted that one Shri Mukesh was working in his Sub-Division, but he did not know under what circumstances he was employed. He further replied that the vacancy was notified to the Employment Exchange but the procedure was not followed when the vacancy was only for a

fortnight. The concerned workman deposed that he joined service on 13th December, 1977. His service was terminated on 31st July, 1980. He had received 10 days notice, prior to termination of his service on 30th June, 1980. He was not paid any compensation nor his termination was effected by issuing any charge-sheet to him. Copy of certificate issued to him by the department was Ex.M-1. Shri Banarsi Dass and Shri Harnek were still working in the Department. In cross-examination he replied that he had received a notice on 13th June, 1980. He admitted that in the seniority list his name figured as serial No. 33 and that of Shri Banarsi Dass at No. 40 and Shri Harnek Singh at No. 59.

The learned representative for the management argued that the concerned workman had continuous service of two years and seven months. He argued that the workman was employed work-charged basis and his service was terminated after compliance of formalities prescribed in P.W.D. Code. Seniority list was displayed. No workman junior to him was kept in service. He cited 1981-I-LLJ-page 386, Surendra Kumar Verma, Vs. Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal, Delhi and argued that the workman could not be reinstated even if there was flow in the termination of service in view of 1980-I-LLJ-page 72 because the work on which the concerned workman was employed, had been completed. On the other hand, the learned representative of the workman argued that the termination order was bad in law because no retrenchment compensation was paid to the workman which was obligatory in view of Smt. Santosh Gupta Vs. State Bank of Patiala 1980-II-LLJ-page 72 Supreme Court.

I have given thoughtful consideration to the arguments advanced by the learned representatives of the parties and have also considered the facts of the case. The concerned employee as admitted by the party, had been engaged as work-charged T. Mate. The concerned workman according to Ex.W-1, remained into employment from 13th December, 1977 to 22nd February, 1979 and 1st August, 1979 to 31st July, 1980 as T. Mate. He also remained in service from 23rd February, 1979 to 31st July, 1979 as Electrician. Therefore, he remained in continuous service from 13th December, 1977 to 31st July, 1980. His service was terminated keeping in view the seniority in respect of T. Mates. In the notice of termination it was clearly mentioned that due to the completion of work, his service was no more required beyond 30th June, 1980. As regard to compliance of Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, definition of retrenchment was as under :—

“retrenchment” means the termination by the employer of the service of a workman for any reason whatsoever, otherwise than as a punishment inflicted by way of disciplinary action, but does not include—

- (a) voluntary retirement of the workman; or
- (b) retirement of the workman on reaching the age of superannuation of the contract of employment between the employer and the workman concerned contains a stipulation in that behalf; or
- (c) termination of the service of workman on the ground of continued ill health”.

In view of the above, it was obligatory upon the management to comply with the provision of Section 25-F which is admittedly not done. Therefore, order of termination was in so far as payment of retrenchment compensation was concerned the consequential result was reinstatement of the workman. But in the present case he was work-charged employee and work had been completed, therefore, he could not be reinstated there being no work. Further he will be entitled to retrenchment compensation. It is advised that the workman may be given a job of T. Mate on some work to be started by the Department or in some existing vacancy because I find that Irrigation Department is an expanding Department and is taking in hand many projects for construction of irrigation works.

I conclude by passing my award that the workman was entitled to retrenchment compensation. He was also entitled to other compensation being out of job and cost of litigation which is quantified at Rs. 1,000/-

Dated, the 23rd June, 1982,

M. C. BHARDWAJ,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 723, dated the 30th June, 1982.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M.C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

H. L. GUGNANI,

Commissioner & Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour Department.

IRRIGATION AND POWER DEPARTMENT

The 1st October, 1982

No. 11226/2-L.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land specified below is needed urgently by the Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, i. e., for constructing Link Channel from R.D. 0 to Tail R.D. 744.29' and Constructing Additional Pump House No. 2 at R.D. 44500 Loharu Canal in Village Tiwala in tehsil Dadri, district Bhiwani, for which a notification has been issued under section 4 and published,—vide Haryana Government, Irrigation and Power Department, Notification No. 10107/2-L, dated 8th September, 1982 in *Haryana Government Gazette, Extra ordinary*, it is hereby declared that the land described in specification below is required urgently for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 for information to all whom it may concern.

And whereas the Governor of Haryana is further of the opinion that the purpose for which the land is required of an urgent importance within the meaning of clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 17 of the said Act.

Therefore, it is hereby directed under sub-section (4) of section 17 of the said Act that the provisions of section 5-A of the said Act shall not apply in regard to this Acquisition.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the office of the Land Acquisition Collector, Irrigation Branch, Rohtak.

SPECIFICATIONS

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in Acres	Hadbast No.	Boundary
Bhiwani	Dadri	Tiwala	2.71	90	<p>A strip of land measuring 744.29' in length and varying in widths passing through Khasra numbers/ Killa numbers as below:—</p> <p>6 6 7 7 —, —, —, 30, 31, —, 89 1 2 2 1</p> <p>And generally lying in the direction from East-South to South-West as demarcated at site and as shown on the Index Plan.</p>

By order of the Governor of Haryana.

(Sd.)

Superintending Engineer,
Loharu Canal Circle,
Rohtak.